UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 08/928,272 | 09/12/1997 | MICHAEL J. ISKRA | P-3818 | 9434 | |
| RICHARD J RO | 7590 07/28/200 ODRICK | EXAMINER | | | |
| | KINSON AND COMP | MATTER, KRISTEN CLARETTE | | | |
| 1 BECTON DR FRANKLIN LA | AKES, NJ 074171880 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3771 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/28/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | 08/928,272 | ISKRA, MICHAEL J | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KRISTEN C. MATTER | 3771 | |

| | TRACTER OF WHATTER | 0771 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | w); | • | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence of the partition of the | being in contact with a sidewall pre | | ıld require an |
| updated search and/or reconsideration. (See 37 C | • | | DTOL 204) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (i | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | - | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | il be entered and an e | xpianation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 5-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu | t before or on the date of filing a Ne | ation of Annual will not | ha antarad |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Justine R Yu/ | /Kristen C. Matter/ | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771 | Examiner, Art Unit 3771 | | |